BIOLOGY LETTERS

Onychophoran-like musculature in a phosphatized Cambrian lobopodian

Journal:	*Biology Letters*
Manuscript ID	RSBL-2016-0492.R2
Article Type:	Research
Date Submitted by the Author:	23-Aug-2016
Complete List of Authors:	Zhang, Xi-guang; Yunnan University, Key Laboratory for Palaeobiology; Smith, Martin; Durham University Yang, Jie; Yunnan University, The Key Laboratory for Palaeobiology Hou, Jin-bo; Yunnan University
Subject:	Evolution < BIOLOGY, Palaeontology < BIOLOGY
Categories:	Palaeontology
Keywords:	lobopodians, muscle, evolution, taphonomy, phylogenetics

Onychophoran-like musculature in a phosphatized Cambrian lobopodian

Xi-Guang Zhang[1]*, Martin R. Smith[2]*, Jie Yang[1], Jin-Bo Hou[1]

[1]Key Laboratory for Paleobiology, Yunnan University, Kunming 650091, China.

[2]Department of Earth Sciences, Durham University, Durham, DH1 3LE, UK.

*These authors contributed equally to this work.

Subject areas:

Evolution, Palaeontology

Keywords:

Lobopodians, muscle, evolution, taphonomy, phylogenetics, Cambrian Stage 3

Author for Correspondence:

Xi-guang Zhang, e-mail: xgzhang@ynu.edu.cn

Abstract

The restricted, exclusively terrestrial distribution of modern Onychophora contrasts strikingly with the rich diversity of onychophoran-like fossils preserved in marine Cambrian *Lagerstätten*. The transition from these early forebears to the modern onychophoran body plan is poorly constrained, in part due to the absence of fossils preserving details of the soft anatomy. Here we report muscle tissue in a new early Cambrian (Stage 3) lobopodian, *Tritonychus phanerosarkus* gen. et sp. nov., preserved in the Orsten fashion by three-

dimensional replication in phosphate. This first report of Palaeozoic onychophoran musculature establishes peripheral musculature as a characteristic of the ancestral panarthropod, but documents an unexpected muscular configuration. Phylogenetic analysis reconstructs *T. phanerosarkus* as one of a few members of the main onychophoran lineage – which was as rare and as cryptic in the Cambrian period as it is today.

1. Introduction

The Panarthropod phyla – Euarthropoda, Tardigrada and Onychophora – evolved from a paraphyletic grade of unsegmented leg-bearing worms, the lobopodians [1]. These organisms illuminate otherwise intractable details of early panarthropod evolution [2,3], even if the full significance of their fossils is difficult to evaluate.

Lobopodians from the onychophoran total group have a deep ancestry. First represented by occurrences of their dorsal armature in the Tommotian (Cambrian Stage 2) shelly fossil record [4,5], they rise to a more obvious prominence in the Chengjiang biota (Cambrian Stage 3), where their carbonaceous compression fossils display a rich array of morphologies [6]. Fine exterior structure, however, is only seen in three-dimensional microfossils of Orsten-type deposits [7]. *Orstenotubulus* [8], the single lobopodian preserved in this fashion, dates to the Guzhangian (latest mid-Cambrian), substantially after the burst of lobopodian disparity documented by the early Cambrian (Stage 3) Chengjiang biota [9].

This array of onychophoran-like lobopodians may straddle the onychophoran stem lineage [9], or may predominantly belong to an extinct sister group [3]. Some features of the onychophoran body plan are already evident in these Cambrian taxa [1], though other distinctive characteristics, such as slime papillae, necessarily arose after onychophorans colonised the land. New Cambrian fossils, and better resolution of their relationships, are key to elucidating the evolutionary trajectory that led to the specialized anatomy of modern onychophorans. We here present a new phosphatized lobopodian from early Cambrian

Orsten-type deposits that provides a unique perspective on the cuticle and musculature of early onychophoran-like lobopodians.

2. Materials and methods

The specimen was recovered by 5% acetic acid digestion of carbonate nodules from black shales, and is deposited at the Key Laboratory for Palaeobiology, Yunnan University, Kunming, China (YKLP). Phylogenetic analysis was conducted in TNT [10] using the methods of Smith and Ortega Hernández [1] on a revised matrix of 49 taxa and 115 unordered characters integrating data from recent lobopodian analyses [1,3,9,11] (data and scripts available at Dryad [12] ~~in supplementary material~~). Parsimony analysis employed implied weights, with 99 values of Goloboff's concavity constant [13] picked from a log-normal distribution (range: 1.061–259.4; R function `qlnorm((1:99)/100, meanlog=log(4), sdlog=log(6))` + 1), and equal weights, with a consensus tree generated from all most parsimonious topologies [14]. Extended implied weighting [15] does not affect the consensus tree.

3. Results

Systematic Palaeontology

Superphylum Ecdysozoa Aguinaldo *et al.* 1997 [16]

Stem-group Onychophora Grube 1853 [17]

Genus *Tritonychus* Zhang et Smith, gen. nov.

LSID. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:959A47D4-3323-47CB-ADB2-B6A8F5B945A0

Etymology. In reference to the third (τριτος, *tritos*) claw (ονυχος, *onychos*), a unique feature among lobopodians.

Diagnosis. Lobopodous appendages paired, ten times longer than wide, four times narrower than trunk, each ending with three anteriorly-directed claws. Trunk and appendages ornamented with bifurcating circumferential wrinkles and bearing dermal papillae. Two discrete layers of longitudinal fibres peripheral to body cavity.

Type species:

Tritonychus phanerosarkus Zhang et Smith gen. et sp. nov.

LSID. urn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:1715A32E-C258-4EC2-A44D-EC1A1E3DC23E

Etymology. φανερος, *phaneros,* well-displayed, σαρκος, *sarkos*, muscle, flesh

Holotype. YKLP 12335 (Figure 1), the only known specimen.

Occurrence. Yu'anshan Formation (*Eoredlichia–Wutingaspis* Biozone, approximately late Atdabanian = Cambrian Series 2, Stage 3), Xiaotan section, Yongshan, Yunnan Province.

Diagnosis. As genus.

Description. The specimen is a millimetre-long section of lobopodian trunk that is folded at its midpoint and bears a pair of lobopods on its ventral surface near the presumed posterior margin (figure 1*a*). It is incomplete at each end, and lacks most of its dorsal surface.

The trunk is ornamented with circumferential wrinkles, spaced at 10 μm, which bifurcate and merge in an irregular fashion (figure 1*b*). Irregularly positioned conical projections, 5 μm in diameter and 7 μm in height and situated on a round cuticular base (figure 1*b,c*), presumably correspond to the dermal papillae of *Orstenotubulus* and extant Onychophora [8]. Moving distally along each appendage, the cuticle wrinkles give way to a reticulate pattern of polygonally-arranged ridges that conceivably correspond to cell boundaries, and the papillae are less frequently expressed (figure 1*f–h*).

The trunk is lined with three layers of fibrous tissue, each around 10 µm thick, which we interpret as muscles. The outer layer (figure 1*c–e*, cyan) comprises 5–10 µm wide longitudinal fibres; it parts between the appendages to leave a 60 µm wide gap, through which a separate bundle of longitudinal fibres (white in figure 1*d*) passes. The fibres part again to the right of this point (green in figure 1*c*), perhaps reflecting the insertion of leg levator musculature. A second layer of interwoven oblique fibres (crimson and mauve in figure 1*c–e*) sits within the first, and within that layer lie further fibres oriented perpendicular to the body axis (yellow in Fig 1*c–e*), presumably representing decayed remnants of an originally extensive layer of circular musculature.

Each of the two appendages is 800 µm long and a uniform 80 µm in diameter, with a circular cross section that is distorted in places by flattening. Each bears the impressions of three terminal claws, separated by 45°; the raised central bosses of these impressions denote an originally hollow claw (figure 1*f,g*). No distinct foot is present. Assuming the claws to be directed forwards (as in other lobopodians), the legs occupy the posterior limit of the fragment. The ventrolateral location of the appendages suggests that they served a conventional locomotory role, contrasting with the intriguing lateral position of appendages of *Orstenotubulus* [8].

4. Discussion

The preservation of muscular tissue is in some respects surprising, as body wall musculature is the first feature to decay when onychophorans are rotted in isotonic saline solution [18]. The absence of both labile (gonads, gut) and recalcitrant (claws) tissues in *T. phanerosarkus* indicates that the sequence of decay in salt water is a poor guide to the sequence of preservation in this fossil material. Here, early phosphatization initiated at the cuticle (evinced by the decreasing quality of preservation away from the body wall) clearly led to enhanced preservation of peripheral tissue [cf. 19].

More generally, muscle tissue is atypical in phosphatized ("Orsten-type") microfossils [ref. 20 provides a rare example], and though it may be concealed by overlying tissue layers in some cases [21], in most – including palaeoscolecid cuticles that occur alongside *T. phanerosarkus* – its absence is genuine. Muscle preservation is no less unusual in Burgess Shale type settings [22]. The exception is Sirius Passet, where early diagenetic phosphatization records the evolution of muscle anatomy in stem-group Euarthropoda – documenting a conceived transition from peripheral musculature deep in the stem group (*Kerygmachela*), via peripheral + skeletal muscle (in *Pambdelurion*), to the skeletal muscle arrangement of crown group euarthropods (and tardigrades) [2]. The presence of peripheral musculature in *T. phanerosarkus* confirms that peripheral musculature was also ancestral within onychophorans, and thus for panarthropods as a whole.

Nevertheless, the derivation of tri-layered musculature from the presumably ancestral twin layers observed in priapulids [23] is not straightforward. In priapulids and extant onychophorans, the outermost muscles are circular and the innermost longitudinal, with onychophorans incorporating an intermediate layer of interwoven oblique muscles [24,25]. *T. phanerosarkus* exhibits equivalent layers – though their order is reversed, leaving the homology of each layer with those in other panarthropods unclear, and revealing an unexpected diversity of muscle arrangement in early panarthropods.

A position within Onychophora is nonetheless robustly supported by phylogenetic analysis, which consistently places the new lobopodian in a clade comprising *Orstenotubulus*, *Antennacanthopodia, Helenodora* and crown group Onychophora (Supplementary Data, summarised in figure 2). This 'onychophoran-like' clade is sister to all other Cambrian stem-group onychophorans, with the exception of *Onychodictyon gracilis*. It reflects cuticular similarities between *T. phanerosarkus*, *Orstenotubulus* [8], and extant onychophorans:

bifurcating circumferential wrinkles, spinose projections mounted on with circular bases, and (in places) hexagonal patterning.

The new fossil extends the record of these features of the modern onychophoran cuticle into the lower Cambrian (Stage 3), along with other characteristics of the onychophoran body plan: the peripheral disposition of multiple muscular layers, the ventro-lateral appendage location, and conceivably a gonopore – one possible interpretation of the intra-appendicular gap in musculature, suggested by equivalent openings in *Orstenotubulus* and extant onychophorans [8].

Despite the early evolutionary origin of this suite of onychophoran features, it is striking that the 'onychophoran-like' clade is so poorly represented in the fossil record: only six specimens have yet been recovered, four of which are Orsten-type fragments. Whether or not the distinctively onychophoran-like features of the new fossil were also present in other Cambrian lobopodians, the lineage leading to modern Onychophora seems to have been as rare and depauperate during the formative stages of its evolution as it is today.

Ethics. No ethics to declare.

Data accessibility.

Phylogenetic data: TreeBASE accession number S18871 [26]

Description of characters and detailed phylogenetic results: Dryad doi:10.5061/dryad.7r10b [12]

This published work and the nomenclatural acts it contains, have been registered in Zoobank: http://zoobank.org/References/-D5C6C81C-2EEB-41CD-BE0C-48927554810D.

~~Phylogenetic data, description of characters, and detailed results of phylogenetic analysis are available in the electronic supplementary material, and are registered in Treebase (purl.org/phylo/treebase/phylows/study/TB2:S18871).~~

Authors' contributions. X.G.Z. and M.R.S. conceived the study. X.G.Z., J.Y. and J.B.H. collected the material. M.R.S. performed the phylogenetic analysis. J.Y. took the SEM photos. X.G.Z. and M.R.S. made the figures. M.R.S. drafted the manuscript with input from other authors. All authors have agreed to be held accountable for the content and approved the final version of the manuscript.

Competing interests. We have no competing interests.

Funding. Funded by the National Natural Science Foundation of China (U1402232 and 41472022 to X.G.Z. and J.Y.), the Department of Science and Technology, Yunnan Province (2015HA045 to X.G.Z.).

Acknowledgements. We thank H.-Q. Zhang, and M. Tian for sample preparation.

5. References

1 Smith, M. R. & Ortega-Hernández, J. 2014 *Hallucigenia*'s onychophoran-like claws

175 and the case for Tactopoda. *Nature* **514**, 363–366. (doi:10.1038/nature13576)

176 2 Budd, G. E. 2001 Tardigrades as 'stem-group arthropods': the evidence from the
177 Cambrian fauna. *Zool. Anz.* **240**, 265–279. (doi:10.1078/0044-5231-00034)

178 3 Smith, M. R. & Caron, J.-B. 2015 *Hallucigenia*'s head and the pharyngeal armature of
179 early ecdysozoans. *Nature* **523**, 75–78. (doi:10.1038/nature14573)

180 4 Caron, J.-B., Smith, M. R. & Harvey, T. H. P. 2013 Beyond the Burgess Shale:
181 Cambrian microfossils track the rise and fall of hallucigeniid lobopodians. *Proc. R.*
182 *Soc. B* **280**, 20131613. (doi:10.1098/rspb.2013.1613)

183 5 Missarzhevsky, V. V. & Mambetov, A. J. 1981 Stratigrafiya i fauna pogranichnykh
184 sloev kembriya i dokembriya Malogo Karatau (Stratigraphy and fauna of Cambrian
185 and Precambrian boundary beds of Maly Karatau). *Tr. Akad. Nauk. SSSR* **326**, 1–90.

186 6 Liu, J.-N. & Dunlop, J. A. 2014 Cambrian lobopodians: a review of recent progress in
187 our understanding of their morphology and evolution. *Palaeogeogr. Palaeoclimatol.*
188 *Palaeoecol.* **398**, 4–15. (doi:10.1016/j.palaeo.2013.06.008)

189 7 Müller, K. J. 1985 Exceptional preservation in calcareous nodules. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc.*
190 *B* **311**, 67–73. (doi:10.1098/rstb.1985.0139)

191 8 Maas, A., Mayer, G., Kristensen, R. M. & Waloszek, D. 2007 A Cambrian micro-
192 lobopodian and the evolution of arthropod locomotion and reproduction. *Chin. Sci.*
193 *Bull.* **52**, 3385–3392. (doi:10.1007/s11434-007-0515-3)

194 9 Yang, J., Ortega-Hernández, J., Gerber, S., Butterfield, N. J., Hou, J.-B., Lan, T. &
195 Zhang, X.-G. 2015 A superarmored lobopodian from the Cambrian of China and early
196 disparity in the evolution of Onychophora. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **112**, 8678–

8683. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1505596112)

10 Goloboff, P. A., Farris, J. S. & Nixon, K. C. 2008 TNT, a free program for phylogenetic analysis. *Cladistics* **24**, 774–786. (doi:10.1111/j.1096-0031.2008.00217.x)

11 Yang, J., Ortega-Hernández, J., Butterfield, N. J., Liu, Y., Boyan, G. S., Hou, J., Lan, T. & Zhang, X. 2016 Fuxianhuiid ventral nerve cord and early nervous system evolution in Panarthropoda. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **113**, 2988–2993. (doi:10.1073/pnas.1522434113)

12 Zhang, X.-G., Smith, M. R., Yang, J. & Hou, J.-B. 2016 Data from: Onychophoran-like musculature in a phosphatized Cambrian lobopodian. *Dryad Digital Repository*, http://dx.doi.org/10.5061/dryad.7r10b. (doi:10.5061/dryad.7r10b)

13 Goloboff, P. A. 1993 Estimating character weights during tree search. *Cladistics* **9**, 83–91. (doi:10.1111/j.1096-0031.1993.tb00209.x)

14 Mirande, J. M. 2009 Weighted parsimony phylogeny of the family Characidae (Teleostei: Characiformes). *Cladistics* **25**, 574–613. (doi:10.1111/j.1096-0031.2009.00262.x)

15 Goloboff, P. A. 2014 Extended implied weighting. *Cladistics* **30**, 260–272. (doi:10.1111/cla.12047)

16 Aguinaldo, A. M., Turbeville, J. M., Linford, L. S., Rivera, M. C., Garey, J. R., Raff, R. A. & Lake, J. A. 1997 Evidence for a clade of nematodes, arthropods and other moulting animals. *Nature* **387**, 489–493. (doi:10.1038/387489a0)

17 Grube, A. E. 1853 Über den Bau von *Peripatus* Edwardsii. In *Müller's Archives of*

Anatomy and Physiology, pp. 322–360.

18 Murdock, D. J. E., Gabbott, S. E., Mayer, G. & Purnell, M. A. 2014 Decay of velvet worms (Onychophora), and bias in the fossil record of lobopodians. *BMC Evol. Biol.* **14**, 222. (doi:10.1186/s12862-014-0222-z)

19 Hof, C. H. J. & Briggs, D. E. G. 1997 Decay and mineralization of mantis shrimps (Stomatopoda: Crustacea)—a key to their fossil record. *Palaios* **12**, 420–438. (doi:10.1043/0883-1351(1997)012<0420:DAMOMS>2.0.CO;2)

20 Andres, D. 1989 Phosphatisierte Fossilien aus dem unteren Ordoviz von Südschweden. *Berliner Geowissenschaftliche Abhandlungen (A)* **106**, 9–19.

21 Eriksson, M. E., Terfelt, F., Elofsson, R. & Marone, F. 2012 Internal soft-tissue anatomy of Cambrian 'Orsten' arthropods as revealed by synchrotron X-ray tomographic microscopy. *PLoS One* **7**, e42582. (doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0042582)

22 Butterfield, N. J. 2006 Hooking some stem-group 'worms': fossil lophotrochozoans in the Burgess Shale. *BioEssays* **28**, 1161–1166. (doi:10.1002/bies.20507)

23 Carnevali, M. D. C. & Ferraguti, M. 1979 Structure and ultrastructure of muscles in the priapulid *Halicryptus spinulosus*: functional and phylogenetic remarks. *J. Mar. Biol. Assoc. U. K.* **59**, 737–744. (doi:10.1017/S0025315400045719)

24 Hoyle, G. & Williams, M. 1980 The musculature of *Peripatus* and its innervation. *Phil. Trans. R. Soc. B* **288**, 481–510. (doi:10.1098/rstb.1980.0024)

25 Snodgrass, R. E. 1938 Evolution of the Annelida, Onychophora and Arthropoda. *Smithson. Misc. Collect.* **97**, 1–159.

26 Zhang, X.-G., Smith, M. R., Yang, J. & Hou, J.-B. 2016 Data from: Onychophoran-like musculature in a phosphatized Cambrian lobopodian. *TreeBASE* **S18871**, purl.org/phylo/treebase/phylows/study/TB2:S18871.

Figure Captions

Figure 1. The Cambrian Stage 3 lobopodian *Tritonychus phanerosarkus* gen. et sp. nov. from China (YKLP 12335). (*a*) overall morphology. (*b*) surface ornament; circumferential wrinkles irregularly bifurcate and merge (white arrows) and bear papillae (black arrow). (*c*) close up of musculature in panel *a*; (*d*) two layers of three-dimensionally preserved muscle fibres (myofibrils). Colours denote structures interpreted as: white, ventral longitudinal muscles; cyan, outer layer of longitudinal muscles, parting to leave gap (arrow); crimson, mauve: interwoven layer of oblique muscles; yellow: inner layer of circular muscles; green, point of leg levator insertion. (*e*) details of linear fibres subparallel (black arrows) and perpendicular (white arrows) to the body axis. (*f*) left appendage tip, bearing impressions of three claws. (*g*) right appendage, showing the reverse side of claws. (*h*) papillae of different sizes (arrows) on the surface of right appendage.

Figure 2. Phylogenetic results. Fossil occurrences marked as thick black lines, ghost ranges as thin lines. Divergence times are unconstrained, except in the case of hallucigeniids, where the record of isolated *Hallucigenia*-type spines [4] provides a minimum divergence time. Abbreviations: BS, Burgess Shale, c. 508 Ma; SP, Sirius Passet, c. 518 Ma; CJ, Chengjiang, c. 520 Ma.